

ISCC EU Audit Procedures for Low ILUC Risk Feedstock of Farm/Plantation and First Gathering Points

No.	Template	Remarks	Risk level	Comments
0	Basic data Farm/ Plantation	Basic data of the farm/plantation audited	Not applicable	
1	Basic data - First Gathering Point (FGP): Group certification of Farms/ Plantations	Basic data for the first gathering point and group members of farms/plantations as described in the ISCC EU System Documents	Not applicable	
2	Requirements for farm/plantation applying low ILUC risk measures	According to ISCC EU 202-07 Low ILUC Risk Feedstock	Not applicable	
3	Requirements for Farms/plantations	Requirements for the production of biomass according to ISCC 202 Sustainability requirements for the production of agricultural biomass		Risk assessment, and by that, the sample size has already been determined by the auditor in the framework of the audit of the first gathering point
4	Requirement for the First Gathering Points/Central Office	Audit requirements as described in the ISCC EU System Documents	Not applicable	

Please read the guidelines carefully before completing the audit checklist!

- ISCC provides audit procedures, which are based on the ISCC EU 202-07 Low ILUC Risk Feedstock and contain all relevant certification requirements.
- This template is to be applied for certification audits of Farms/ Plantations and First Gathering Points (FGP) for the certification of low ILUC risk feedstock. The checklist also has to be applied for sample audits of Farms and Plantations in the framework of certification audits of First Gathering Points and Central Offices under ISCC EU. In case of sample audits, an individual procedure has to be completed for each sample audit.
- This template of the audit checklist shall not be altered by the user.
- For low ILUC risk certification, a baseline audit and re-certification audits are conducted. The aim of the baseline audit is to verify the management plan and to control the implementation of the additionality measures. For the re-certification audits, traceability and the determination of the additional biomass are also of relevance. Checkpoints being of relevance for the initial audit are marked.
- If a requirement is not applicable for a specific audit, it must not be answered. The auditor moves on to the next relevant requirement.
- For all relevant requirements, it is mandatory to mark the "conformity" with either "yes" (conformity) or "no" (non-conformity).
- For every "no" the auditor must explain the decision in column "findings".



- Every "no" requires the definition of corrective measures which must be documented separately. The unique number of non-compliant requirements must be stated. The implementation of corrective measures must be verified and confirmed by the auditor.
- For some requirements the auditor may be required to provide detailed information in the column finding. Those requirements contain a clear note in the column finding that must not be removed.
- In the audit checklist the acronym RED II refers to the Directive 2018/2001.



0 Basic E	Oata – Farm/ Plantation	
0.01	Name of Certification Body	
0.02	Name of the auditor(s)	
Operation	onal Unit	
0.03	Company Name	
0.04	Street	
0.05	Street Number	
0.06	Postal Code	
0.07	Place	
0.08	Country	
0.09	Geo Coordinates: Latitude in decimal degrees	
0.10	Geo Coordinates: Longitude in decimal degrees	
0.11	Certification System	
0.12	Contact Person: Salutation	
0.13	Contact Person: Last Name	
0.14	Contact Person: First Name	
0.15	Contact Person: Phone	
0.16	Contact Person: E-Mail	
0.17	Contact details (e.g. email, phone) of relevant department within the	
	company	
0.18	Type of Operation/ Scope to be audited	□ Farm/ Plantation
		☐ First Gathering Point
0.19	In case of a farm/ plantation:	□ Individually certified
	Is the Operational unit certified individually or audited as a part of a sample	☐ Audited as part of a sample
	under one of the recognized voluntary schemes?	
0.20	ISCC Registration Number	
0.21	Year of initial certification with a voluntary certification scheme / Year of initial	
0.00	certification under the low ILUC add-on (please indicate year)	
0.22	Certificate number / Certification number for low ILUC add-on	
	ecific Data	
0.23	Name of Lead Auditor	
0.24	Name(s) of further members of the audit team	
0.25	Name of the low ILUC risk expert in the audit team	
0.26	Place of the Audit	
0.27	Date of the Audit	
0.28	Duration of the on-site Audit (in hours, in digits)	
0.29	Name(s) of company representative(s) present during the audit	



0.30	Is the operational unit using relevant service providers or sub-contractors? (e.g. for implementing additionality measures)	□ yes
0.31	In case of "yes": Name(s) of relevant service providers/ sub-contractors (e.g.	□no
0.31	logistic providers, plant protection service providers, etc.)	
0.32	Overall risk level applied during the audit (risk level regarding documentation	□ Regular (risk level 1.0)
	and sampling)	☐ Medium (risk level 1.5)
		☐ High (risk level 2.0)
0.33	Specify major risk indicator(s) that were identified for the audit	
0.34	Tools and information sources used to determine risk factor	
0.35	Risk level applied regarding a flawed documentation of the audited	□ Regular (risk level 1.0)
	operational unit (i.e. risk level for traceability)	☐ Medium (risk level 1.5)
		☐ High (risk level 2.0)
0.36	What GHG emissions option is used for the outgoing sustainable material?	□ Total default value
	(multiple choice is possible)	□ Disaggregated default value
		☐ Actual GHG value (individually calculated GHG value)
		□ NUTS2 value or NUTS2-equivalent value (only applicable for the level of
		cultivation, i.e. for FGP, Farms/Plantations, Central Offices)
Farm/ F	lantation Requirements	
0.37	Status of the farm/plantation	□ Individually certified
		□ Part of First Gathering Point
		☐ Member of group of farms/ plantations
0.38	Has the farm been audited before?	□ yes
		□no
0.39	If yes, please indicate the date of the previous audit of the farm/plantation	
0.40	Please indicate the type of agricultural operation audited	□ Small holder
		□ Individual Farmer
0.41	Please specify the size of the agricultural operation, depicted as traverse in geographic coordinates:	
	a. Total area of the agricultural operation (total area of the agricultural unit,	
	b. total size of the land area cultivated)	
	c. Total area of agricultural operation where additionality measure(s) were applied (delineated area) in ha	
	1	



0.42	Please describe the delineated area where the above measures were carried out.	
	In case several measures have been implemented, please specify for which plots, fields, plantations or farms the respective measure has been applied and provide information on the respective size of the area (per crop, in case one or several measures are applied to one or several crops).	
	Each lot, field, plantation or farm (as part of the whole agricultural area) shall be depicted as traverse in geographic coordinates with a precision of 0.1 meters for each measuring point. Please provide the depiction of simple lot. Shapes can easily be realized with the help of satellite images or for very complex shapes, the real lot can be approximated by a polygon. The measuring points on each end of the lines framing the polygon then have to meet the required precision of 0.1 meters or for very complex shapes, the real lot can be approximated by a polygon.	
0.43	Please indicate which low ILUC risk measure has been applied (an ILUC mitigation plan for detailed information must be filled out by the farmer and audited by the CB accordingly))	 □ Additionality measures¹ on existing agricultural land □ Use of abandoned or severely degraded land □ Cultivation on other type of unused land
0.44	Signature and confirmation of the producer that the farm complies with all requirements relevant for the certification of low ILUC risk materials	(Signature; name, place and date)

¹ Additionality measure: any improvement of agricultural practices leading, in a sustainable manner, to an increase in yields of food and feed crops on land that is already used for cultivation; and any action that enable the cultivation of food and feed crops on unused land, including abandoned land, for the production of biofuels, bioliquids and biomass fuels.



.45	Annua	ıl crops									
	Field/ plot	Crop	Total area cultivated (ha)	yield	Additionality measure applied and date of initial application	Date of sowing	Date of harvesting	GHG Option and GHG emissions in kg CO2eq/t	Yield baseline (Average yields for the last three years (t/ha))	Additional biomass per hectare (t/ha) (Average yield – Yield baseline)	Additional biomass (t (Additional biomass per hectare * total area cultivated per crop)
_											
-											
		nial crops									
	Field/ plot	Crop	Total area cultivated (ha)	Average yield (t/ha)	Additionality date of initial			Option (Ave	l baseline erage yield of the chree years (t/ha) ld curve)	Additional biomass per hectare (t/ha) (Average yield – Yield baseline)	Additional biomass (t) (Additional biomass per hectare * total areal cultivated per crop)



1 Basic	data - First Gathering Point (FGP): Group certification of Farms/ Plantations	
1.01	Indicate the total number of farms/plantations (including small holders) that participate in the certification of low ILUC risk biomass	
1.02	Do you have a filled-out self-declaration and a low ILUC risk management plan from each supplier?	
1.03	Specify the type of agricultural producer(s) supplying low ILUC risk certified biomass.	☐ Small holders☐ Individual certified farms/ plantations☐ Farms/ plantations
1.04	Indicate the total number of small holders applying low ILUC risk measures.	
1.05	Indicate the total number of individual certified farms applying low ILUC risk measures.	
1.06	Indicate the total number of farms/ plantations applying low ILUC risk measures.	
1.07	What is the risk level with respect to potential violations of the low ILUC risk requirements for the production of biomass (in particular the risk of violations against sustainability criteria under RED II)?	□ Regular (risk level 1.0)□ Medium (risk level 1.5)□ High (risk level 2.0)
1.08	How many small holders have been audited based on a sample?	
1.09	How many individual certified farms/ plantations have been audited based on a sample?	
1.10.	How many farms/ plantations have been audited based on a sample?	
1.11	Specify the total delineated area of all low ILUC risk compliant small holders. (Each lot, field, plantation or farm of small holders (as part of the whole agricultural area) shall be depicted as traverse in geographic coordinates with a precision of 20 meters for each measuring point.)	
1.12	Specify the total delineated area of all low ILUC risk compliant individual certified farms/ plantations. (Each lot, field, plantation or farm (as part of the whole agricultural area) shall be depicted as traverse in geographic coordinates with a precision of 20 meters for each measuring point.	
1.13	Specify the total delineated area of all low ILUC risk compliant farms/ plantations. (Each lot, field, plantation or farm (as part of the whole agricultural area) shall be depicted as traverse in geographic coordinates with a precision of 20 meters for each measuring point.)	



Name of farmer	Start Iow ILUC risk measure (Year)	Total biomass supplied (per crop)	Total size of the farm	Total size (per crop)	Total biomass supplied	Yield per crop (t/ha)	Average yield for the last three years (if available)	Dynamic yield baseline (calculated)	Total amount of additional yield (calculated) (t)	GHG Option and GHG emissions in kg
							(t/ha)			CO2eq/t



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Confo	rmity
					Yes	No
.01	In case of a farm/ plantation: Is thorough documentation provided by the economic operator on the evidence needed to identify the additional feedstock and substantiate claims regarding the production of additional feedstock via the low ILUC risk management plan?	Check if the management plan is filled out completely. The information is provided in a way that those are verifiable during the audit. The calculation of e.g. dynamic yield baseline is transparent. Scientific sources, references, and other documentation are included.	Filled out low ILUC risk management plan			
	Does the management plan include the following information on the delineated area? a) The delineated plots (including land area being part of the crop rotation system) relevant for low ILUC risk certification, the ownership/ status of lease for the respective plots, a description of the land history (last 3-5 years), acquisition dates as per contract for newly acquired land and the status of the farm (matching the historic yield data provided) b) A description of the delineated plot c) In case of small holder certification on whose behalf	Compare the farmland area with the information provided on the delineated plots. All delineated plots are managed by the respective farm/ plantation. Information on the ownership/ status of leased land is available for all plots. In addition, information on the land history of the past 3-5 years, including yields, is available. For new land areas, information on land acquisition is provided. The description of the delineated plot is sufficient to clearly determine the respective land area. In the case of smallholders, the surface area is provided with a resolution of 0.1 hectares and for areas less than 2 hectares.	Filled out management plan. KML, Shape files, maps of the land area (in ha, 0.1 ha resolution). Document on land ownership. Documentation on land management including data on yields, crops being cultivated. Historical pictures, satellite images. Information on the surface area of the delineated plots.			



	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Confo	ormity
					Yes	No
	financial additionality test is sought, the surface area					
the f	s the management plan include following information on the itionality measures? a) The situation of the farm/plantation/plot before the additionality measure was implemented. This should be a qualitative description of current practices, specifically relevant to the envisaged additionality measure b) A description of the additionality measure, the timeline over which it was or will be applied and whether it will be combined with other additionality measures. c) An explanation of the expected future yield growth	The description of the relevant plots before the additionality measure is verified as correct. The additionality measure described is included on the "white list" of measures. If it is not on the list, the measure is evaluated for its eligibility to achieve increased yields. All information provided is crop- and site-specific The calculation of expected future yields is realistic and based on information and data from external sources. Scientific literature and other references are used to determine future yields.	Document check. Verification on-site. Interview with the farmer describing the expected impact of the additionality measure and the former land use. Verification of the scientific sources, etc. being used for the calculation			
the f	s the management plan include following information on the pric crop yield? a) Crop-specific data on yields linked to the relevant plots of land on which the	The respective data is checked for being crop- and site-specific. The data corresponds to the documentation on yields available.	Documentation on historic yields by the farmer/ FGP			
	additionality measure(s) is/ are being applied for the past 3 years					



Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Confo	ormity
				Yes	No
Does the management plan include the following information on the on the dynamic yield baseline? b) Is the dynamic yield baseline calculated according to the methodology laid out in the guidance document? a) Is the dynamic yield baseline crop-specific? b) For farmers choosing the measure of previously unused, abandoned or severely degraded land, is the dynamic yield baseline set to zero?	Control if the dynamic yield baseline is determined based on the correct methodology. The data used for the calculation is correct and corresponds with the documented data. Detailed information for determining the dynamic yield baseline can be found in the "Guidance Document". Please see chapter 9	Correct calculation/ determination of the dynamic yield baseline			
Does the management plan include data on the land status?	Check if the information on land status (e.g. abandoned land) is included in the management plan. The information is verified as correct. For verification, GIS data, satellite images, soil analysis results and relevant online tools can be used. Further, land use documents can be checked. Detailed verification guidance for abandoned and severely degraded land can be found in the "Guidance Document": - for abandoned land, please see chapter 8.2 - for severely degraded land, please see chapter 8.3	Land status information for the delineated land area is available and correct Results of the soil analysis based on the threshold for severely degraded land			



Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Confo	ormity
				Yes	No
In case of farms producing additional biomass: is a correct financial analysis or a barrier analysis included in the management plan?	-Soil sampling for severely degraded land shall be conducted in accordance with the soil sampling protocol in Annex III, please see chapter 8.4 Check if a financial attractiveness test or a non-financial barrier test has been conducted. The financial attractiveness test is based on actual farm data. The data sources are reliable, and the analysis is conducted based on actual data. In cases where the NPV has been calculated, the NPV is either negative or at least zero. In the case of a non-financial barrier test, the described barriers are provable and comprehensible. The overcoming of the non-financial barrier has been explained. Further guidance for verifying both test and a non-exhausted list of barriers can be found in the "Guidance Document": - For the financial attractiveness test, please see chapter 7.1	A financial attractiveness test or a non-financial barrier test is available and comprehensible			
Does the management plan include an estimation of the additional biomass?	- For the non-financial barrier test please see chapter 7.2 Check if an estimation on the additional biomass is available. Scientific literature, information from companies, and other	An estimation on the future yields (after the implementation of the			
	credible sources are used to determine and estimate the effect of the additionality measure on future yields. The	additionality measure) is available			



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Confo	ormity
					Yes	No
		estimation is documented in a way that allows the data used to be verified.				
2.02	Is the additional biomass which can be claimed as low ILUC-risk feedstock calculated crop-specific and in line with the set-out methodology?	Check if the additional biomass has been calculated according to the set-out methodology. In the case of sequential cropping, the set-out methodology has been taken into account. The additional biomass is higher than the dynamic yield baseline. The calculation is crop- and plot-specific.	The additional biomass is determined correctly			
2.03	Is the additional biomass calculated in line with the projections in the management plan?	Check if the additional biomass is equal to the estimated amount. Discrepancies of more than 20% must be further explained	Management plan, the determined additional biomass			
2.04	Is a mass balancing system in place documenting the relevant amount of low ILUC risk certified feedstock and the relevant characteristics (low ILUC risk certification, crop, amount, country of origin, additionality measure, GHG values)?	Check if a correct mass balancing documentation is implemented, documenting the correct amounts of low ILUC risk feedstock and also documenting the corresponding relevant sustainability characteristics. Control, if this documentation is part of the overall mass balance documentation of the economic operator and if the same workflows apply.	Low ILUC risk mass balancing is documented correctly including information on all sustainability characteristics and as part of the basic mass balance documentation			
2.05	Is it ensured that the additionality measure described in the management plan is applied on the described plot of land?	Verify on-site the implementation of the additionality measure. The measure is being implemented as outlined in the management plan. All relevant plots of delineated land are being covered.	On-site field visit, low ILUC risk management plan			



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings Co	nformity
				Ye	s No
2.06	Is it ensured that the yield achieved on the delineated plot of land is documented crop-and plot-specific?	Verify, if the data on the low ILUC risk yields is documented crop and plot-specific.	Documentation on yields by the farmer, low ILUC risk management plan		



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Conf	ormity
	·				Yes	No
.1	Is it ensured that low ILUC risk certified biomass is not obtained from land that in or after January 2008 had the status of forestland?	Control, that biomass is not produced on land that had the status of forestland in or after January 2008, no matter whether or not the land still has this status. Forest land comprises - Primary forests and other wooded land are areas covered with native tree species where there is no clearly visible indication of human activity and the ecological processes are not significantly disturbed - Highly biodiverse forest and other wooded land which is species-rich and not degraded or has been identified as being highly biodiverse by the relevant competent authority, unless evidence is provided that the production of that raw material did not interfere with those nature protection purposes. This requirement will normally be demonstrated with evidence showing that there has been no land use change, e.g. 'positive' evidence showing the area was already cropland in 2008.	Evidence of compliance can be demonstrated by e.g. comparing aerial photographs, satellite images, land register documents (e.g. field record system, documents of land registry, land certificates, GPS-based crop yield), maps, site surveys or management plans from 31.12.2007 or earlier with today's status of the farmland. Environmental assessments of expansions since 1st January 2008 show that no conversion of forestland took place. Appropriate assessment tools are e.g. databases like GRAS, Modis Land Cover Database, Intact Forest Landscapes database etc., and/ or maps by NGOs (e.g. IUCN, WWF-especially in Indonesia, Vida)		Yes	No

² If the entire farmland is covered by a certification proving full compliance with this requirement, an additional verification is not necessary. However, depending on the current level of EU RED compliant certification, an additional assessment might be necessary.



3.2	Is it ensured that low ILUC risk certified	Check if the land is completely or partially	A comparison of the		
0.2	biomass is not obtained from land	situated in nature protection areas.	farmland with the areas		
	that serve the purpose of nature	Areas for nature protection purposes	for nature protection		
	protection unless the nature	comprise areas, which are designated by	purposes (designated by		
	protection aims are not	law or by the relevant competent	law, Natura 2000,		
	1 ·	1	l l		
	endangered?1	authority to serve the purpose of nature	designated by nature law		
		protection.	of third countries, World		
		Compare in European Union Member	Database on Protected		
		States the farmland with the biotopes	Areas (WDPA) or the		
		protected by law and Natura 2000 areas.	Integrated Biodiversity		
		In third countries search for similar laws	Assessment Tool (IBAT) or		
		and designated protection areas.	other databases show,		
		Analyse the World Database on	that plant cultivation		
		Protected Areas (WDPA), the Integrated	does not occur on one of		
		Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) or other	these protected areas).		
		databases.	Document check, use of		
		The protection purpose and the	databases, satellite		
		respective imperatives and interdictions	images etc. to verify		
		must be followed according to the	compliance.		
		relevant protected area declaration. As	If crop cultivation and		
		long as a Natura 2000 area has not been	harvest of biomass occurs		
		placed under protection order, the	on areas for nature		
		relevant preservation objectives are	protection purposes		
		authoritative.	interviews with the farmer		
			and employees and the		
			analysis of the		
			operational documents		
			show that nature		
			protection requirements		
			are observed. Check the		
			knowledge of the farmer		
			and the other workers		
			also on the relevant		
			l l		
			imperatives and		
			interdictions.		
3.3	Is it ensured that the regulations for	Compare the land areas with the	Document check, use of		
	areas that serve the purpose of the	protected areas listed in the IUCN	databases, satellite		
	protection of rare, threatened or	Database.	images etc. to verify		
	vulnerable ecosystems or species, or		compliance.		



organizations or the International Union for the Conservation of Nature are followed also for land that is categorized as "abandoned" or "severely degraded"? ir	with potential HCV-areas and if HCV-criteria have been followed in the identification of land status. Where the biomass production does not interfere with protection purposes, appropriate management measures to implement any legal requirements relating to the protection of species and habitats are met and illegal or inappropriate hunting, fishing or collecting activities are controlled.	identifying where HCVs occur. Where HCV is not a well-known concept, existing systems may be used to identify the values. At a national level, surveys by international associations, environmental agencies or authorities may be in place to identify important areas for biodiversity conservation. Consultation with stakeholders might be important as a means of verification		
certified biomass is not obtained from land that in or after January 2008 had the status of highly biodiverse grassland? grassland? If	Check whether harvesting of raw material is necessary to preserve the grassland status or not. Where evidence is provided that the harvesting of the raw material is necessary to preserve the grassland status, no further evidence to show compliance with that criterion is needed. If not: Check if land had in or after January 2008 the status of highly biodiverse grassland: "Natural highly biodiverse grassland" and "non-natural highly biodiverse grassland" are distinguished: "Natural highly biodiverse grassland" means grassland that: (a) would remain grassland in the	Evidence of compliance can be demonstrated by e.g. comparing aerial photographs, satellite images, land register documents (e.g. field record system, documents of land registry, land certificates, GPS-based crop yield), maps, site surveys or management plans from 31.12.2007 or earlier with today's status of the farmland.		



		(b) maintains the naturals species			
		composition and ecological			
		characteristics and processes.			
		"Human intervention" means managed			
		grazing, mowing, cutting harvesting or			
		burning.			
		"Non-natural highly biodiverse grassland			
		means grassland that:			
		(a) would cease to be grassland in the			
		absence of human intervention; and			
		(b) is not degraded, that is to say it is not			
		characterised by long-term loss of			
		biodiversity due to for instance			
		overgrazing, mechanical damage to the			
		vegetation, soil erosion or loss of soil			
		quality; and			
		(c) has been identified as being highly			
		biodiverse by the relevant competent			
		authority, unless evidence is provided that			
		the harvesting of the raw material is			
		necessary to preserve its status as highly			
		biodiverse grassland			
3.5	Is it ensured that low ILUC risk certified	Check if any land had in or after January	Evidence of compliance		
	biomass is not obtained from land	2008 the status of a wetland, namely land	can be demonstrated by		
	that in or after January 2008 had the	that is covered with or saturated by water	e.g. comparing aerial		
	status of wetland and no longer has	permanently or for a significant part of the	· - ·		
	the status?1	year. Wetlands can be natural or artificial,	images, land register		
		permanent or temporary, with water that	documents (e.g. field		
		is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt,	record system,		
		including areas of marine water the	documents of land		
		depth of which at low tide does not	registry, land certificates,		
		exceed six meters (e.g. marsh, fen)	GPS-based crop yield),		
		Compare with wetland status in the list of	maps, site surveys or		
		internationally important wetlands	management plans from		
		according to article 2, section 1 of the	31.12.2007 or earlier with		
		Convention of February 2nd 1971	today's status of the		
		(RAMSAR Convention). The conservation	farmland.		
		of the status of a wetland also implies that	Appropriate assessment		
		this condition is not to be changed or	tools are e.g. databases		



		compromised. Raw material production	like GRAS, RAMSAR	
		on wetlands might be acceptable as long	Convention, Modis Land	
		as the status of the wetland is not	Cover Database, World	
		changed.	Intact Forest Landscape	
			Database.	
			The determination and	
			objective evidence of the	
			carbon stock of the area	
			before the conversion on	
			the basis of exact	
			measurements is	
			necessary to prove that	
			the greenhouse gas	
			emission saving is fulfilled	
			before and after the	
			conversion. Canopy	
			cover can be estimated	
			visually (e.g. USDA field	
			manual).	
			Interviews with states	
			Environmental Agency	
			staff; farmer and their	
			employees or other	
			stakeholders (NGOs) can	
			help to confirm that high	
			carbon stock land is not	
			used.	
3.6	Is it ensured that low ILUC risk certified	Check if any land had in or after January	Evidence of compliance	
	biomass is not obtained from land	2008 the status of a continuously forested	can be demonstrated by	
	that in or after January 2008 had the	area, namely areas that:	e.g. comparing aerial	
	status of continuously forested areas	- Stretch over more than 1 hectare with	photographs, satellite	
	and no longer has the status?1	trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy	images, land register	
		cover of more than 30%, or trees able to	documents (e.g. field	
		reach these thresholds on the respective	record system,	
		site. A conversion is not allowed	documents of land	
		- Stretch over more than 1 hectare with	registry, land certificates,	
		trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy	GPS-based crop yield),	
		cover of between 10% and 30%, or trees	maps, site surveys or	
		able to reach these thresholds in situ. A	management plans from	



conversion is not allowed unless reliable evidence is provided that the carbon stock of the area before and after conversion is such that the requirements regarding the greenhouse gas saving, required by the RED II, would be fulfilled.

 The term continuously forested does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural use (e.g. short rotation coppice)

Canopy cover is the percentage of ground covered by a vertical projection of the outermost limits of the natural spread of the foliage of trees.

The status of forest areas includes all stages of development and age. Thus, it is quite possible that the canopy cover temporarily falls below 10 or 30 %, e.g. after tree harvest or a natural hazard (e.g. windfall). Such incidents do, however, not change the status of the area as forested area as long reforestation or natural succession is ensured within a justifiable time.

Continuously forested areas are to be judged as entity, no matter how much of this continuously forested area lies within the farmland or the production area. Accordingly, the whole area is the basis for the calculation of the threshold values of 10 or 30%.

If the total area of the forested area exceeds 1 ha and is stocked with trees higher than 5 meters, the area and each part of it that lies within the farmland or the production area is termed continuously forested area. Even if only 0.5 ha of the continuously forested area lie within the farmland, these 0.5 ha must

31.12.2007 or earlier with today's status of the farmland. **Environmental** assessments of expansions since 1st January 2008 show that no conversion of forestland took place. Appropriate assessment tools are e.g. databases like GRAS, Modis Land Cover Database, Intact Forest Landscapes database etc., and/ or maps by NGOs (e.g. IUCN, WWF-especially in Indonesia, Vida)



		be classified as continuously forested			1
		area just like the total forested area.			
3.7	Is it ensured that low ILUC risk certified	Possible only if it is proven that the	Evidence of compliance		
	biomass is not obtained from land	cultivation and harvesting of this raw	can be demonstrated by		
	(again) that was peatland in January	material does not involve drainage of	e.g. comparing aerial		
	2008 or thereafter?	previously undrained soils or if it can be	photographs, satellite		
		proven that land was already completely	images, land register		
		drained in January 2008.	documents (e.g. field		
		Control that biomass is not produced on	record system,		
		peatland or if it is produced on land that	documents of land		
		was partially drained in January 2008, the	registry, land certificates,		
		land is not subsequently deeper drained.	GPS-based crop yield),		
		Peatland soils are soils with horizons of	maps, site surveys or		
		organic material (peat substrate) of a	management plans from		
		cumulative thickness of at least 30 cm at	31.12.2007 or earlier with		
		a depth of down to 60 cm. The organic	today's status of the		
		matter contains at least 20 mass percent	farmland. Interviews with		
		of organic carbon in the fine soil.	states Environmental		
		Peatland soils that have been completely	Agency staff, farmer and		
		drained for cropping before January 2008	their employees or other		
		and that are not subsequently deeper	stakeholders (NGOs) can		
		drained, are allowed for biomass	help to confirm that		
		production.	peatland is not used.		
			Environmental assessment		
			of expansions since 1st		
			January 2008 shows that		
			no conversion of land		
			with high biodiversity		
			value took place.		
			Appropriate assessment		
			tools are e.g. databases		
			like Harmonized World Soil		
			Database.		
3.8	Is it ensured that if low ILUC risk	Control if land use changes took place	Proof by maps, satellite-		
	certified biomass is not obtained from	after the respective time of reference. In	databases, farm records		
	land that has been converted in or	this case, the areas shall not violate the	etc.		
	after January 2008, the conversion	protection areas mentioned above.	If the audit detects that		
	and use are in accordance with the		land use has been		
	requirements of Delegated		changed after January		



	Regulation (EU) 2019/807 and Directive (EU) 2018/20011		2008, the auditor has to verify in detail the status before land use change. If this procedure shows that any land of a farm/plantation before land use change fell under the sustainability requirements under Directive (EU) 2018/2001, it is forbidden to certify the biomass as low ILUC risk material. If the farmer cannot show all relevant land use rights or protected areas were changed after January 2008 certification is not possible. If the converted land did not fall under prohibited land use changes of Directive (EU) 2018/2001, the auditor has to verify if the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of the land use changes have been included. The use of	
			GHG default values is not possible.	
3.9	Is it ensured that Good Agricultural Practices are applied with regard to the protection of soil quality and soil organic carbon (including the whole farm land and the additionality measure)?	GAP with regard to soil quality and soil organic carbon include: - Improvement of soil fertility (e.g. by preventing soil erosion, maintaining and improving soil nutrient balance, soil organic matter, soil pH, soil structure and soil biodiversity, and the prevention of salinization)	Evidence from the analysis of land maps, topographical maps and local inspection of farmland with regard to prevention and control of erosion, soil nutrient balance, soil organic matter, soil pH, soil	



- The avoidance of soil erosion and
compaction (e.g. management
strategies to handle plantings on slopes,
fragile and problematic soils, and erosion
from wind or water)

- Maintaining soil structure through appropriate field tillage practices, crop rotation and adaptation of field cultivation techniques (e.g. limitation of mechanized harvesting)
- Limited soil contamination by adapted fertilizer management
- Compilation of soil organic matter balances/ soil organic carbon
- Taking the additionality measure applied into account (measure should not jeopardize long-term sustainability of land management)

structure and soil biodiversity. Local inspection of farmland with respect to the subjects.

Document check and/or other evidence. Results of soil analysis available and show improvement of the situation.

Small-scale farmers in

lower income countries

are at least able to explain potential impacts of their operations and how they avoid potential negative impacts. Evidence that specific management strategies have been set up for fragile or problematic soils. Evidence of measures to reduce soil erosion is available: Appropriate measures are inter alia:

- Field tillage practices
- Crop rotation
- Adaptation of field cultivation techniques
 Fertilizer lists, conclusions of soil reports and input/output balances. Results of soil examinations, fertilizer calculations, application manuals, chemical compositions of fertilizers. Soil organic matter balances



				available. Interviews with the farmer and/or other employees	
Is it ensured that the applied GHG value (default, disaggregated, NUTS2, actual) is in line with RED II requirements (including emissions from cultivation (eec), land use change (el) and improved agricultural management (esca)) and up to date, and that the correct value has been passed to the recipient of the raw material in the last year?	vo a re fr c a a vo re	Verify whether the farmer fits into the categories specified within the RED II for using the respective GHG values, or, in case of actual calculations, if the right formulas were applied and that GHG calculation and respective data are up to date and must be based on previous cultivation period/ previous calendar or financial year. In case of group certification, the calculation shall preferably be hold at the central office or the first gathering point for a preverification of the calculation methodology.	categories specials using the responsive case of actual formulas were calculation are date and must cultivation per financial years, certification, to preferably be the first gather verification of	Documentation GHG value, region of cultivation (compare with RED values), Reports on incoming and outgoing	



No.	ements for the First Gathering Point / Centra Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Findings	Conf	ormity
NO.	kequilements	verification goldance	Evidence/ Documents	rindings		
4.1	Is a list of all low ILUC compliant farms / plantations available and accessible?	Check if a list of all farmers/ small holders who have implemented an additionality measure is available. For a certification as first gathering point at least one farm or plantation must be on the list. The list must include all farms, which have been part of the group or supply base within the 12 months prior to the audit. The list must include the following information: The name of the farm/ farmer, the additionality measure applied, the year in which the additionality measure was applied the first time, the size of the farm, the crop(s) for which the measure was applied, the size of the area on which the crop was cultivated and historic yield data of the crop(s) (if applicable)	List of farms, contracts with farms		Yes	No
4.2	Are the farms or plantations for which sampling is applied a homogenous group?	Check whether the farms or plantations are from the same region, share similar climatic conditions, production systems and share the same risk exposure (based on risk assessment). Note: Farms or plantations that do not fulfil these conditions can still be member of a group. However, they must be treated separately during sampling. Sampling is not applicable for farms or plantations, which are certified individually or as part of a group.	Maps, geographic region, size of region/ supplying area, production systems			
4.3	Are filled out low ILUC risk management plans for all farms or plantations of the group available?	Check whether all farmers or plantations have submitted a filled out management plan.	Low ILUC risk management plan(s), list of farms/plantations			
4.4	Did a risk assessment of the low ILUC risk compliant farms or plantations take	Evaluate the risks by taking into account regional specifics, involvement of local	List and locations of farms or plantations			



	place regarding potential violations of	experts, utilisation of databases and		
	the low ILUC risk requirements for the	information.		
	production of biomass?	Evaluate risks by the following risk factors		
		and factor classes:		
		- Proximity to and/or overlap with no-go		
		areas		
		- Land conversion shortly before/after		
		January 1st 2008		
		- Cultivation of sustainable and non-		
		sustainable biomass at the same time		
		- Factors significantly influencing the output		
		per acreage and per Hectare		
		- Factors related to size		
		- Factors related to characteristics		
		- Experience gained		
		- Results of internal audit		
		Allocate the risk into one of the risk		
		categories:		
		- Regular (Factor 1,0)		
		- Medium (Factor 1,5)		
		- High (Factor 2,0)		
4.5	Has a sufficient number of farms or	Calculate the sample size by multiplying the List of farms/plantations.		
	plantations been selected for verifying	square root of the total number of farmers Verify the number of		
	compliance with the low ILUC risk	that filled out the low ILUC risk management farms/plantations on the		
	requirements based on a sample?	plan during the 12-months period prior to list. Risk factor		
		the certification audit with the risk factor		
		determined in the risk assessment for		
		violations of the low ILUC risk requirements		
		for sustainable production of biomass.		
		Example: 100 EU farms, medium risk (factor		
		1.5), square root of 100 = 10 X 1.5 = A		
		sample of 15 farms has to be selected and		
		audited.		
		Factors to be taken into account when		
		selecting the individual farms of the sample:		
		- Type of raw material / feedstock / crop		
		- Different size of suppliers		
		- Geographical location		



		- At least 25% should be determined on a random basis The auditor may increase the sample size during the audit if this is needed to gain a representative understanding. N		
4.6	Were all farms or plantations audited positively?	Verify if all farms or plantations from the sample have been audited with a positive result. In case one or more entities from the sample have a negative audit result the sample must always be doubled. In case of non-conformities on farm level, verify if all relevant non-conformities have been corrected.	Audit reports of farms/plantations	
4.7	Does the information and quantities from weighbridge tickets, delivery notes, low ILUC risk declarations or proofs of low ILUC risk quality of the incoming and outgoing material match with the information from the reporting system of the company?	Compare information and quantities of the reporting with the related incoming/outgoing weighbridge tickets, delivery notes or sustainability declarations. Deviations up to 0,5% are acceptable. Deviations above 0,5% will require explaining documentation (e.g. weight loss due to drying/ cleaning documented by drying protocols etc.)	Weighbridge tickets, delivery documents, contracts	
4.8	Are the quantities of the incoming and outgoing deliveries of low ILUC risk compliant material consistent with the amounts stated in the contracts related to those deliveries? Do they fulfil the sustainability characteristics fixed in the contracts (e.g. on EU RED II or compliance with a voluntary scheme, type of Chain of Custody)?	Compare quantities from reporting with contract details. Take into account that contract quantities can be split into several batches or that one batch may relate to different contracts. Verify if amounts are consistent. If relevant: Compare the amount of incoming and outgoing material claimed as "low ILUC risk compliant".	Weighbridge tickets, delivery documents, contracts, mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations	
4.9	Do the delivery notes or sustainability declarations for incoming and outgoing (sustainable) low ILUC risk compliant material comply with the low ILUC requirements and is the	Verify whether the documents contain all mandatory information as displayed on the most recent version of the delivery notes or sustainability declarations	Weighbridge tickets, delivery documents, contracts, mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations	



	information consistent with information in the reporting system?			
4.10	Is it ensured, that outgoing deliveries of low ILUC risk material are covered by the validity period of the operational units' certificate (only applicable in case of a re-certification)?	The "oldest" and the "most recent" delivery notes are compared with the validity period of the certificate of the operational unit. Verify if all deliveries of low ILUC risk material have been covered by a valid certificate.	Weighbridge tickets, delivery documents, contracts, mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations	
4.11	Is it ensured, that for one batch of low ILUC risk material not more than one sustainability declaration or proof of sustainability is issued?	Verify that not more than one delivery note or proof of low ILUC risk has been issued for one batch of outgoing product.	Weighbridge tickets, delivery documents, contracts, mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations	
4.12	Is it ensured, that low ILUC risk raw material is only collected from farms/plantations, which have filled out the low ILUC risk management plan and which included on the list of low ILUC risk farms/ plantations?	Compare dates of incoming deliveries with the date of issuing the management plan. Compare deliveries, the management plan and the list of farms/plantations.	Contracts with suppliers, mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations	
4.13	Are the amounts of low ILUC risk compliant raw material supplied by the farm/plantation plausible?	Compare the amounts supplied with the size of the farm/plantation. Verify plausibility of amounts.	Contracts, weighbridge tickets	
4.14	Was the mass balance calculated correctly? (If the system user is certified for multiple scopes, mass balances should be kept for each scope separately).	Indicate in "Findings" which mass balance period(s) (beginning and end date of the period) were verified during the audit. Indicate at least one (reproducible) transaction which has been verified (audit trail). Conduct respective control calculation based on the respective reporting: Determination of A (available low ILUC risk material): Add the quantity of low ILUC risk material in stock (at the beginning of the period) and the incoming low ILUC risk material for the entire period. Multiply this sum with the conversion factor for this period (applicable for processing units)	Mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations	



		Determination of B (low ILUC risk material output): Determine the quantity of outgoing low ILUC risk products during this period. Result B has to be equal to or smaller than result A Also individually check if separate mass balances are kept for "low ILUC risk compliant" material and materials with different sets of sustainability characteristics (if applicable).	
4.15	Was the credit for low ILUC risk material to be transferred into the next mass balance period calculated correctly?	Only positive credits can be transferred into the next mass balance period. Check credit calculation based on above mass balance calculation figures Credit C = A - B: Subtract B from A	Mass balance documentation
		Compare result C with inventory level D of sustainable and non-sustainable material at the end of the mass balance period. It is only possible to transfer the amount of credits C into the next mass balance period as physical material D (sustainable and non-sustainable) is in stock. Only positive credits can be transferred into the next mass balance period.	
4.16	Is the quantity of output material declared as "low ILUC risk compliant" since the previous audit available and consistent?	Identify the relevant quantities for the period since the previous audit from reporting and compare the quantities on delivery notes or mass balance calculation. Compare quantities of "low ILUC risk compliant" products with other RED acquired raw materials.	Mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations
4.17	Is it ensured that different raw materials (including low ILUC risk certified material) are kept separately in the mass balance?	Verify if different raw materials are kept separately within the mass balance calculation (raw material specific mass balance).	Mass balance documentation



4.18	Is it ensured that the mass balance allows to uniquely identify and assign low ILUC risk characteristics (crop, additionality measure, amount) to individual (incoming and outgoing) batches?	Verify if individual batches can be uniquely assigned with low ILUC risk characteristics (such as type of feedstock, quantity, country of origin/cultivation, additionality measures applied) based on the (received and issued) delivery notes (e.g. sustainability declarations).	Mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations
4.19	Is it ensured that no "double claiming" of low ILUC risk material occurs (i.e. selling incoming low ILUC risk material twice with the same low ILUC risk characteristics)?	Compare total incoming raw material and the total amount declared as low ILUC risk compliant. In case more than one certification system is used, control mass balance (and if necessary, the supporting delivery documents, Proofs of Sustainability, traceability databases, etc.) of other certification systems. Verify that material is not declared as low ILUC risk compliant under more than one system. Verify that the total amount of low ILUC risk output under all certification schemes combined, matches the amount of low ILUC risk input.	Mass balance documentation, sustainability declarations